

APPENDIX 3-K

**TxDOT Memo on
TIP Format and Texas Administrative Code Rules**



MEMORANDUM

TO: All District Study Offices

December 12, 1997

FROM: Jerry L. Selby, P. E.
Transportation Planning & Programming Division

SUBJECT: Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
Format and Texas Administrative Code Rules

File: TPP(I)
(512) 486-5037

At their November 20 meeting, the Commission approved the revised Transportation Planning portion of the Texas Administrative Code Rules (Section 15.1 - 15.8). These sections were expanded greatly over the previous sections and represented codifying of policies and procedures that we had been following for many years.

Section 15.7(f) (Transportation Improvement Program - Format) indicates that a uniform format will be developed for the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) to use in submitting their TIP. On November 12, 1996, TPP sent to all District Transportation Planning and Development (TPD) Directors a memo containing TIP information on various subjects. Attached to that memo was a sample TIP format. That format was developed in consultation with the MPOs to ensure that the requirements of 23 CFR §450.216(a)(8) (Statewide transportation improvement program (STIP)) are met and represents the uniform format to be used by MPOs and Districts. Attached is a copy of the memo. Included in the memo are lists of changes that require or do not require TIP/STIP revisions. Those lists have been superseded by the requirements listed in the Texas Administrative Code Rules approved by the Commission. Please disregard that portion of the November 12, 1996 memo.

While 23 CFR §450.216(a) indicates that "Metropolitan planning area TIPs shall be included without modification in the STIP, directly or by reference, once approved by the MPO and the Governor ...". MPO TIPs that are not submitted in the uniform format will not be included in the STIP in accordance with Texas Administrative Code Rules §15.7(f) and §15.8(e)(2) (Statewide Transportation Improvement Program - STIP Approval).

Section 15.8(f)(1) (Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) - STIP Revisions - Schedule of Revisions) indicates that TxDOT and the MPOs will be required to adhere to a quarterly revision schedule. Attached is a copy of the Executive Director's memo, dated June 30, 1997, containing the current quarterly STIP Revision schedule. All required documentation is due to TPP by the close of business on the first working day of the Revision month. Revisions received after this time may be held until the next quarterly revision.

Please note that due to the time required to prepare the Revision package and review/approval time by FHWA/FTA, Districts and MPOs should not expect projects to go to letting until two (2) to three (3) months after the Revision month. For example, if the February 1998 Revisions do not receive FHWA/FTA approval until after the March Letting submission, then the Letting Letter of Authorization would be received in April 1998 and projects could not be let until after that date. Therefore the time span could be February to April (three months).

We recommend that you post a copy of this memo and the attachments along with a copy of the approved Texas Administrative Code Rules in Appendix 7 of the Metropolitan Planning/Program Management Handbook provided you by the Intermodal Section.

Please forward a copy of this memo and attachments to your MPOs. If you have any questions, please contact Paul Tiley at (512) 486-5037.

Attachments

cc: Dianna Noble, ENV
Karen Dunlap, PTN
Linda Olson, DES
Max Proctor, TPP(P)
Montie Wade, TTI

Jerry L. Selby, P.E.



MEMORANDUM

TO: All District Engineers

June 30, 1997

FROM: Wm G. Burnett, P. E.
Executive Director

SUBJECT: FY 1998 - 2000 Statewide Transportation Improvement
Program (STIP) Quarterly Revisions

File: TPP(I)
(512) 486-5037

When the Commission adopted the FY 1996 - 1998 STIP on November 30, 1995, we attempted to maintain a quarterly revision cycle. Since that adoption, we have approved twenty-two revisions over a nineteen-month period. While some of these revisions only involved one or two projects, in some months, we had to approve two revisions with a relatively large number of projects in each revision. This would imply a lack of adequate planning on our part or that of the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) with whom you work.

Effective with the adoption of the FY 1998 - 2000 STIP by the Commission, districts and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) will be required to adhere to a quarterly STIP revision cycle. Project information and MPO approval documentation for the quarterly revisions must be received by the Transportation Planning and Programming Division (TPP) by the close of business on the first working day of the revision month. Once the Commission approves the FY 1998 - 2000 STIP, TPP will publish a schedule of revision dates. Please ensure that any MPOs and effected transit agencies in your district are advised of this guidance.

WGB/plt

cc: TPD - Robert Cuellar, P. E.
MTT - Tom Griebel
DES - Robert Wilson, P. E.
TPP - Alvin R. Luedecke, P. E.
PTN - Judy Byman



MEMORANDUM

TO: All District Engineers August 12, 1997

FROM: Jerry L. Selby, P.E.
Transportation Planning & Programming Division

SUBJECT: FY 1998 - 2000 Statewide Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP) and Revision Information File: TPP(I)
(512) 486-5037

The Commission approved the FY 1998 - 2000 STIP on July 31, 1997. The STIP has been formally transmitted to FHWA/FTA for their review and approval.

As indicated in Mr. Burnett's memo, dated June 30, 1997, with the approval of the FY 1998 - 2000 STIP, we will adhere to a quarterly revision schedule. Attached is a copy of the schedule of quarterly revisions for the next two years. Also attached is a copy of Mr. Burnett's memo. Please circulate this schedule and the information in Mr. Burnett's memo as widely as possible since it effects Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) and Rural & Metropolitan Transit Providers, as well as the District Study Offices.

This is also an opportunity to restate some policies we have had in the past that have not been uniformly followed. First, to ensure that the Districts are informed about MPO TIP actions, TPP will NOT act on any MPO TIP revision unless that revision is forwarded through the District. Second, the District transmittal letter for any MPO TIP or TIP Revision should certify that the MPO has complied with its public involvement policy. When the rural TIP or rural TIP revisions are forwarded, the District should also certify that the District Rural Public Involvement Policy has been met.

JLS/plt
Attachments

Jerry L. Selby, P.E.

cc: Wm G. Burnett, P. E., EXD
Robert Cuellar, P. E., TPD
Thomas A. Griebel, MTT
Robert Wilson, P. E., DES
Diana Noble, P. E., ENV
Judy Byman, PTN
Linda Olson, DES (Letting Section)

FY 1998 - 2000 STIP Revision Information

Revision Name	Date Documentation due to TPP	DCIS P-7 Revision Date
November 1997	November 3, 1997	111997
February 1998	February 2, 1998	021998
May 1998	May 4, 1998	051998
August 1998	August 3, 1998	081998
November 1998	November 2, 1998	111998
February 1999	February 1, 1999	021999
May 1999	May 3, 1999	051999
August 1999	August 2, 1999	081999

FY 2000 - 2002 TIP/STIP TIME LINE DATES

September 1998	Commission approves UTP
October 1998	Districts & MPOs prepare draft TIPs
January 1999	Draft TIPs due to TPP for initial review
February 1999	TPP returns comments
February 1999	Districts & MPOs continue TIP development, Conformity Analysis and conduct Public Involvement Process
May 1999	Finalized TIPs due to TPP
May - June 1999	TPP prepares STIP
July 1999	STIP submitted to Commission
September 1999	FHWA/FTA approve FY 2000 - 2002 STIP



MEMORANDUM

TO: All District Transportation Planning and
Programming Directors

November 12, 1996

FROM: Eddie Shafie

SUBJECT: Updated Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Guidelines

File: TPP(I)
(512) 465-7481

This memo contains updated, detailed information for your staff's use in preparing the TIP.

A memorandum to District Engineers containing TIP/STIP guidelines was dated April 26, 1993. In light of recent changes and further guidance from Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration, we are providing you with updated guidelines for the preparation of the FY 1998 - 2000 TIP and subsequent TIPs.

TIMELINE FOR TIP/STIP SUBMISSION/APPROVAL

Attached to this memo is timeline sheet showing the due dates for the FY 1998 - 2000 TIP/STIP. The Unified Transportation Plan (UTP) was approved by the Commission on September 28, 1996. This timeline sheet has been modified to reflect that approval date. Also note that the due dates for Quarterly Revisions for the current FY 1996 - 1998 STIP are also shown.

QUARTERLY REVISIONS

With the approval of the FY 1998 - 2000 STIP, we will continue the two year TIP/STIP cycle. The FY 1998 - 2000 STIP will be modified quarterly. Revision documents are due to the Intermodal Planning Section of Transportation Planning & Programming Division by the close of business on the first working day of the following months: MARCH, JUNE, SEPTEMBER and DECEMBER.

STIP APPROVAL

The FY 1998 - 2000 STIP will be submitted to the Commission for approval. As authorized by Minute Order Number 105513, dated May 25, 1995, the Commission delegated to the Executive Director "the authority to approve any necessary amendments" to the STIP.

FHWA/FTA REVIEW

After approval by the Commission (for the STIP) or Executive Director (for Revisions), the STIP/Revisions must be reviewed by FHWA and FTA. If there are any questions about individual projects (either highway or transit) in parts of a particular MPO/District project list, or in the entire package, FHWA/FTA may choose not to authorize TxDOT to obligate funds for that project/projects until FHWA/FTA concerns have been addressed. The FHWA/FTA review process may be lengthy (up to three months) and depends on the number of projects submitted.

If projects that fall inside an MPO's Metropolitan Area are being submitted for Quarterly Revisions/other Revisions, FHWA/FTA requires that the District and MPO forward documentation showing that the MPO Policy Advisory Committee has approved the change/changes. If documentation is not included, approval of those projects (highway and/or transit) will be delayed until it is received. Some examples of acceptable documentation are: a copy of the approved minutes from the Policy Advisory Committee meeting, a transmittal letter (with the projects) signed by the MPO Director indicating the date the changes were approved, an MPO approved form for individual projects containing authorized signatures (e.g., the MPO Director or the Policy Advisory Committee Chairperson). (This is not intended to be an all inclusive list of acceptable documentation.)

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

MPOs should follow their published public involvement procedures in developing their TIPs and/or Revisions. Districts are expected to hold at least one public meeting when developing their Rural project lists.

MPOs are required by 23 CFR 450.316(b)(1)(v) and (vii) for both the TIP and Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) to:

1. Demonstrate explicit consideration and response to public input received during the planning and program development processes; and
2. When significant written and oral comments are received on the draft TIP or MTP (including the financial plan) as a result of the public involvement process or the interagency consultation process required under the U. S. EPA's conformity regulations, a summary, analysis, and report on the comments shall be made part of the final plan (MTP) and TIP.

PROJECT INFORMATION

When the Design Division Letting Section prepares the PR-37 form to be sent to FHWA for project approval, the information on that form is taken from either DCIS or the PS&E form. FHWA compares this information to the paper copy of the TIP/STIP (and Revisions). If there are discrepancies, FHWA will not approve the PR-37 until those discrepancies are resolved. These discrepancies can be reduced by ensuring that the project information in the TIP/Revision package matches the information in DCIS. We have made available to the Districts, a program to pull project information from DCIS and print it out in the format shown in the Sample TIP Format attachment. Additional information is available from our office.

Transit information is not currently available in DCIS and is not currently expected to be available in the foreseeable future. Transit projects should be prepared using the sample format shown in the Sample TIP Format attachment. The sample format can be prepared several ways. The example shown was

prepared using the table function in a word processing program.

When preparing projects for submission in a Revision package, it is important that the documentation explain what has changed to cause the individual project to be submitted. This information can also be added to the P-7 screen in DCIS and will print out on the project listing. Failure to do so may cause a delay in the STIP revision approval by FHWA/FTA.

As mentioned in our memo, dated July 19, 1994, subject: Metropolitan Transportation Plans (MTP), one of the requirements of ISTEA is for the TIP to be consistent with the MTP. In order to satisfy this requirement, together with FHWA, we are requesting that all projects (including transit) proposed in the MTP be identified with a unique number that can be tracked in the TIP. FHWA has emphasized that TIP projects that cannot be readily identified in the MTP may be delayed.

FINANCIAL CONSTRAINT

TPP will provide each District a copy of a Lotus spreadsheet containing the funding information approved in the UTP. The District should use this spreadsheet to ensure that the financial plan for the Rural portion and the MPO financial plan do not exceed the amounts authorized in the UTP.

In order to maintain the financial integrity of the STIP:

1. Any requests to add a new project to the STIP should also reflect a deletion of another project of equal cost in that same category, or explain where the additional funds were received from. If additional funds were received, a revised financial plan should also be submitted.
2. When a specific project or projects are identified and "broken out" from a lump sum district wide project, the lump sum project should be revised to show the funding amount reduced by the cost of the new projects, so that the total funding in that category remains the same.

The UTP also contains funding amounts for two FTA programs -- Section 5310, Elderly/Disabled Grants, and Section 5311, Rural Grants. Section 5307, Urban Program, funds are not included. TMA/MPOs should program these funds in the largest urbanized areas. In the smaller urbanized areas, the Section 5307 fund allocations vary from year to year based on a consensus process between the transit industry and the Public Transportation Division (PTN). Once an urban system's funding level is known, programming and financial constraint can be achieved locally.

CHANGES THAT REQUIRE A TIP/STIP REVISION

The following is a list of changes that require a TIP/STIP revision:

1. Adding Federally Funded Projects.
2. Adding Regionally Significant State Funded Projects (NON-ATTAINMENT AREAS ONLY)
3. Change in the project scope of work.
4. Change in federal funding categories, i.e., STP, Bridge
5. Change in project limits.

6. If the MPO's project selection procedures do not provide for selecting projects in the second or third years, a change in TIP Year would require a revision.

7. Changes in Transit Grantees for FTA Section 5310 projects.

CHANGES THAT DO NOT REQUIRE A TIP/STIP REVISION

1. Change in CSJ
2. Change in cost estimate NOT caused by a change in limits or scope of work.
3. Change in Letting Date.
4. Change from one STATE funded category to another STATE funded category.
5. Change in project limits for a STATE funded project.
6. Change in TIP Year for a STATE funded project.
7. Change in scope of work for a STATE funded project.

SAMPLE TIP FORMAT

Attached is a sample TIP format that contains additional information

ES/plt
Attachment

cc: Linda Olson, DES Letting Section
Karen Dunlap, PTN

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Karen Dunlap", is written diagonally across the bottom right of the page.

SAMPLE TIP FORMAT
FOR
FY 1998 - 2000 TIP/STIP

November 12, 1996

Description of the TIP Format

While the specific format of the TIP may vary from MPO to MPO, the following general divisions/sections are minimum acceptable.

COVER PAGE

INTRODUCTION

FEDERALLY FUNDED HIGHWAY PROJECTS

FEDERALLY FUNDED TRANSIT PROJECTS

STATE FUNDED HIGHWAY PROJECTS

LOCALLY FUNDED REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT PROJECTS

FINANCIAL PLAN

ANNUAL SELF-CERTIFICATION

Other Appendices as desired

General Cover Page Information

As a minimum, the cover page should identify the MPO name/area, the TIP years, the date of the public hear/hearings and the date the TIP was approved by the Policy Advisory Committee. If amended at a later date, you may wish to indicate the amendment date or dates. Graphics may be added if desired.

See next page for a sample cover page with minimum information.

(Sample Cover page)

FY 1998 - 2000 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

SAMPLE METROPOLITAN AREA

Public Meeting Date(s): _____

Approved by Policy Advisory Committee: _____

Amended on: _____

General Introduction Information

The Introduction section should contain general background information and history of Transportation Planning in the Metropolitan area. This section should include, as a minimum, discussion on the following topics.

- A. **PURPOSE** - Brief discussion on the purpose of the TIP. Include references to ISTEA planning factors.
- B. **DEFINITION OF AREA** - Brief description of area. Include involved jurisdictions, urban areas, etc.
- C. **PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROCESS** - Description of the public involvement process used for developing the TIP. The description should demonstrate how explicit consideration and response to public input received during the planning and program development processes; and when significant written and oral comments are received on the draft TIP as a result of the public involvement process or the interagency consultation process required under the U. S. EPA's conformity regulations (if a non-attainment area), a summary, analysis, and report on the comments shall be made part of the TIP. (Required by 23 CFR 450.316)
- D. **PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS** - Brief description on how projects are selected for inclusion in the TIP. Include reference to Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and how projects are advanced from MTP to TIP and from year to year within the TIP. Discuss how projects are selected for implementation from the approved TIP.
- E. **PROGRESS FROM PREVIOUS YEAR** - Brief description and list of major projects implemented in previous TIP years. Identify, list and explain significant delays in major projects scheduled in previous TIP.
- F. **AIR QUALITY ISSUES** - Brief description of air quality status. Include reference to Conformity Analysis, if non-attainment area. Include key Transportation Control Measures and implementation progress if appropriate.
- G. **AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA)** - Brief description of implementation of projects for meeting ADA requirements.

General Project Information

In general, all project lists should be sorted/divided in the following order:

- A. By TIP Year -- If the TIP is, for example, FY 1998 - 2000, then project listings should be broken out by year -- FY 1998, FY 1999 and FY 2000.
- B. By Category -- For Highway projects, projects should be sorted by Funding Category. Transit projects should be sorted by FTA Section Number.
- C. By CSJ/Highway Number/Agency --

For Highway projects, the project listings provided by District Offices are sorted by CSJ. If an MPO prepares its own project list, the list should be sorted by the name/number of the street/road/highway on which the work is being done.

For Transit projects, the project lists should be sorted by the name of the agency receiving the funds.

Sample section title sheets for Federally Funded Highway Projects, Federally Funded Transit Projects and State Funded Highway Projects are shown on the following pages. Behind each sample section title sheet is a sample project listing sheet.

Any project, either Highway or Transit, that specifically supports the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) should be identified in the REMARKS section with "ADA".

As mentioned in our memo, dated July 19, 1994, subject: Metropolitan Transportation Plans (MTP), one of the requirements of ISTEA is for the TIP to be consistent with the MTP. In order to satisfy this requirement, together with FHWA, we are requesting that all projects (including transit) proposed in the MTP be identified with a unique number that can be tracked in the TIP. FHWA has emphasized that TIP projects that cannot be readily identified in the MTP may be delayed.

(Sample section title sheet)

**FEDERALLY FUNDED
HIGHWAY PROJECTS**

The following page contains actual data retrieved from DCIS. The data is read from several fields in the DCIS database. The information in those fields, in general, is controlled by the Districts.

On the sample page, there is a COMMENTS field. The data is read from the P-7 screen in DCIS. For quarterly revisions, it provides an area for the District to indicate what has changed about the project that requires it to be submitted for a revision. Inclusion of this information reduces subsequent questions from FHWA/FTA and TPP.

Note that where possible, the Apportionment code has been converted to an Apportionment Category. Some Apportionment codes do not readily fall under only one category. In those cases, the Apportionment code is printed.

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
HOUSTON DISTRICT
HOUSTON-GALVESTON MPO
FY 1997

CSJ DISTRICT COUNTY CITY	NAME OR DESIGNATION LOCATION (FROM) LOCATION (TO) DESCRIPTION OF WORK COMMENTS	APPN CAT 1	COST 1	FEDERAL	PROJ ID
		APPN CAT 2	COST 2	STATE	LET DATE
		APPN CAT 3	COST 3	LOCAL	RVN DATE
		APPN CAT 4	COST 4	LCL CONTRIB	PHASE
		FUNDING CATEGORY		TOTAL	
0110-04-147 HOUSTON MONTGOMERY OTHER	IH 45 0.382 MI N OF RAYFORD-SAUDUST RD 0.318 MI S OF TAMINA RD REHABILITATE EXISTING MAIN LANES	IH-M	\$6,817,000	\$6,135,300	940515
			\$0	\$681,700	12/96
			\$0	\$0	10/95
		2	IH-MAINTENANCE	\$6,817,000	C
0500-04-085 HOUSTON GALVESTON OTHER	IH 45 0.547 MI N OF FM 646 HUGHES RD. RAISE ROADWAY DUE TO FLOODING	IH-M	\$7,750,000	\$6,975,000	950387
			\$0	\$775,000	03/97
			\$0	\$0	10/95
		2	IH-MAINTENANCE	\$7,750,000	C
0110-04-123 HOUSTON MONTGOMERY OTHER	IH 45 0.382 MI N OF RAYFORD-SAUDUST RD 0.318 MI S OF TAMINA RD WIDEN TO 10 & 8 M.L. W/ FR RDS, TMS & PROVISION / FUTURE HOV	315	\$23,518,000	\$18,814,400	940539A
			\$0	\$4,703,600	12/96
			\$0	\$0	10/95
		3A	NHS-MOBILITY	\$23,518,000	C
0177-06-045 HOUSTON HARRIS OTHER	US 59 BIRCHRIDGE 627.51' S OF S END BF 1960A WIDEN TO 8 & 10 MAIN LANES W/FR RDS & PROVISION FOR HOV LANE	315	\$17,073,000	\$13,658,400	940545
			\$0	\$3,414,600	12/96
			\$0	\$0	10/95
		3A	NHS-MOBILITY	\$17,073,000	C
0111-01-072 HOUSTON HARRIS OTHER	FH 524 AT SIMS BAYOU DRILL SHAFTS VERTICAL HEADWALL	315	\$444,000	\$355,200	950390
			\$0	\$88,800	08/97
			\$0	\$0	10/95
		3C	NHS-REHAB	\$444,000	C
0187-05-047 HOUSTON FORT BEND OTHER	SH 36 FM 1489 AUSTIN C/L PAVEMENT REPAIR AND ACP OVERLAY ADDITIONAL PROJECT SELECTED FROM THE 1996 TRADE FAIR	315	\$532,000	\$0	960500
			\$0	\$532,000	08/97
			\$0	\$0	06/95
		3C	NHS-REHAB	\$532,000	C
0598-01-050 HOUSTON HARRIS OTHER	SH 288 AT SIMS BAYOU DRILL SHAFTS VERTICAL HEADWALL	315	\$918,000	\$734,400	950392
			\$0	\$183,600	08/97
			\$0	\$0	10/95
		3C	NHS-REHAB	\$918,000	C
0976-01-029 HOUSTON HARRIS OTHER	FM 865 IH 610 BRAZORIA C/L BASE REPAIR AND ACP OVERLAY IN SECTIONS ADDITIONAL PROJECT SELECTED FROM THE 1996 TRADE FAIR	315	\$500,000	\$400,000	960501
			\$0	\$100,000	08/97
			\$0	\$0	06/96
		3C	NHS-REHAB	\$500,000	C
0912-31-926 HOUSTON BRAZORIA OTHER	VA NORTH ALVIN CITY LIMITS SOUTH ALVIN CITY LIMITS ALVIN MUSTANG TRAIL SYSTEM OF BRAZORIA COUNTY	STP-ENH	\$998,000	\$998,000	HOU. BO. 332
			\$0	\$0	08/97
			\$0	\$0	12/96
		4B	STP-ENHANCEMENT	\$1,247,500	C, E
0912-34-067 HOUSTON FORT BEND OTHER	CS FOURTH ST FIFTH ST IN RICHMOND COURTHOUSE RESTORATION NANCY BENTCH (713) 627-3200	STP-ENH	\$240,000	\$240,000	HO. FB. 0486
			\$0	\$0	01/97
			\$0	\$0	06/95
		4B	STP-ENHANCEMENT	\$300,000	E
0912-34-909 HOUSTON FORT BEND OTHER	VA COMMUNITY PARK KITTY HOLLOW COUNTY PARK HIKE AND BIKE TRAIL (SEGMENT 2) (MISSOURI CITY)	STP-ENH	\$173,956	\$139,165	HOU. FB. 303
			\$0	\$0	08/97
			\$0	\$34,791	12/96
		4B	STP-ENHANCEMENT	\$173,956	C, E

PHASE: C=CONSTRUCTION, E=ENGINEERING, R=ROW, T=TRANSFER

(Sample section title sheet)

**FEDERALLY FUNDED
TRANSIT PROJECTS**

HOUSTON - GALVESTON AREA COUNCIL
TRANSIT PROJECTS
FY 1997

DISTRICT COUNTY CITY	PROJECT DESCRIPTION PROJECT SPONSOR	PROJECT NUMBER	FUNDING SOURCE	FEDERAL STATE LOCAL TOTAL	COMMENTS
HOUSTON HARRIS HOUSTON	FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION METRO	950277	FTA 5309	\$3,855,000 \$0 \$964,000 \$4,819,000	FY95 GRANT TX-03-0174 HOV SAFETY ENHANCEMENTS
HOUSTON HARRIS HOUSTON	BUS ANNUNCIATOR DEMONSTRATION PROJECT METRO	950280	FTA 5309	\$262,000 \$0 \$66,000 \$328,000	FY 92 GRANT TX-03-3500
HOUSTON GALVESTON GALVESTON	GALVESTON TROLLEY VEHICLE ISLAND TRANSIT	96TR14	FTA 5309	\$500,000 \$0 \$125,000 \$625,000	BRAZOS VALLEY

DISTRICT COUNTY CITY	PROJECT DESCRIPTION PROJECT SPONSOR	PROJECT NUMBER	FUNDING SOURCE	FEDERAL STATE LOCAL TOTAL	COMMENTS
HOUSTON HARRIS HOUSTON	BUS ACQUISITIONS METRO ADA: \$352,000	940359	FTA 5307	\$44,177,000 \$0 \$9,049,000 \$53,226,000	FY 95 GRANT TX-90-X337 2 REPLACEMENT 2 EXPANSION ADA COMPLIANCE
HOUSTON GALVESTON GALVESTON	GENERAL TRANSIT PLANNING ISLAND TRANSIT	940367	FTA 5307	\$96,000 \$0 \$24,000 \$120,000	INCLUDES FINANCIAL CAPABILITY ANALYSIS
HOUSTON GALVESTON GALVESTON	REPLACE A/C IN ALL BUILDINGS ISLAND TRANSIT	940369	FTA 5307	\$48,000 \$0 \$12,000 \$60,000	

HOUSTON HARRIS	AMERICAN RED CROSS TXDOT	950505	FTA 5310	\$182,300 \$0 \$45,600 \$227,900	FY 96 GRANT
-------------------	---------------------------------	--------	----------	---	-------------

HOUSTON MONTGOMERY	BRAZOS TRANSIT SYSTEM BRAZOS	940365A	FTA 5311	\$745,100 \$832,300 \$196,000 \$1,782,400	FY 96 GRANT THE WOODLANDS FORMER PROJECT #940365
-----------------------	-------------------------------------	---------	----------	--	---

HOUSTON HARRIS HOUSTON	KATY HOV: SC&C, MISCELLANEOUS METRO	950250A	LOCAL	\$0 \$0 \$100,000 \$100,000	FORMER PROJECT #950250
------------------------------	--	---------	-------	--------------------------------------	---------------------------

(Sample section title sheet)

**STATE FUNDED
HIGHWAY PROJECTS**

FY 1997

CSJ DISTRICT COUNTY CITY	NAME OR DESIGNATION LOCATION (FROM) LOCATION (TO) DESCRIPTION OF WORK COMMENTS	APPN CAT 1	COST 1	FEDERAL	PROJ ID
		APPN CAT 2	COST 2	STATE	LET DATE
		APPN CAT 3	COST 3	LOCAL	RVN DATE
		APPN CAT 4	COST 4	LCL CONTRIB	PHASE
		FUNDING CATEGORY		TOTAL	
0901-00-917 PARIS LAMAR OTHER	VA VARIOUS SEAL COAT	STATE	\$5,827,000	\$0	01/97
			\$0	\$5,827,000	10/95
			\$0	\$0	C,E
		7	PREVENTIVE MX	\$5,827,000	
3145-01-004 PARIS HUNT OTHER	FM 1564 SH 34 FM 36 GRADE, BASE, STRUCTURES & SURFACING	STATE	\$1,300,000	\$0	06/97
			\$0	\$1,300,000	09/96
			\$0	\$0	C,E
		8	8	\$1,300,000	
0901-22-029 PARIS HUNT OTHER	PV AT LAKE TAWAKONI STATE PARK BUILD NEW PARK ROAD AND PARKING AREA	STATE	\$798,000	\$0	01/97
			\$0	\$798,000	10/95
			\$0	\$0	C,E
		9	PARK ROADS	\$798,000	
0435-01-050 PARIS LAMAR OTHER	FM 38 TIGERTOWN EAST 4 MILES GRADE, BASE, STRUCTURES & SURFACING	STATE	\$800,000	\$0	06/97
			\$0	\$800,000	09/96
			\$0	\$0	C,E
		11	DISCRETIONARY	\$800,000	
1379-01-021 PARIS GRAYSON OTHER	FM 901 RAILROAD CROSSING DOT # 415 426 U FM 901 IN SADLER INSTALL RAILROAD SIGNAL WITH GATES MOVED FROM TIP 96 TO TIP 97	STATE	\$100,000	\$0	08/97
			\$0	\$100,000	06/96
			\$0	\$0	C,E
		11	DISCRETIONARY	\$100,000	
1690-01-082 PARIS LAMAR OTHER	US 82 US 82 @ BU 82 E BU 82 W & LP 285 # SH 19 SAFETY LIGHTING	STATE	\$250,000	\$0	08/97
			\$0	\$250,000	10/95
			\$0	\$0	C,E
		11	DISCRETIONARY	\$250,000	
2459-02-007 PARIS GRAYSON OTHER	FM 902 RAILROAD CROSSING DOT # 795 270 U FM 902 IN COLLINSVILLE INSTALL RAILROAD SIGNAL WITH GATES MOVED FROM TIP 96 TO TIP 97	STATE	\$100,000	\$0	08/97
			\$0	\$100,000	06/96
			\$0	\$0	C,E
		11	DISCRETIONARY	\$100,000	
0108-11-010 PARIS RAINS OTHER	SH 19 US 69 VAN ZANDT C/L GRADE, BASE, STRUCTURES & SURFACING	STATE	\$2,200,000	\$0	07/97
			\$0	\$2,200,000	09/96
			\$0	\$0	C,E
		14	REHABILITATION	\$2,200,000	
0045-11-028 PARIS LAMAR OTHER	US 82 AT HIGH STREET TRAFFIC SIGNAL	STATE	\$65,000	\$0	11/96
			\$0	\$65,000	09/96
			\$0	\$0	C,E
		16	MISCELLANEOUS	\$65,000	
0173-06-031 PARIS HUNT OTHER	SH 34 SH 34 AT PANTHER PATH DRIVE TRAFFIC SIGNAL	STATE	\$60,000	\$0	01/97
			\$0	\$60,000	09/96
			\$0	\$0	C,E
		16	MISCELLANEOUS	\$60,000	
0203-02-023 PARIS HUNT OTHER	US 69 US 69 AT FM1567 TRAFFIC SIGNAL	STATE	\$62,500	\$0	04/97
			\$0	\$62,500	09/96
			\$0	\$0	C,E
		16	MISCELLANEOUS	\$62,500	

PHASE: C=CONSTRUCTION, E=ENGINEERING, R=ROU, T=TRANSFER

Locally Funded Regionally Significant Projects Information

The format for these projects is left to the discretion of the MPO/District. However, information similar to that provided in the TIP for highway and transit projects should be included.

The following is quoted from 23 CFR 450.324 (f)(3):

"All regionally significant transportation projects for which an FHWA or FTA approval is required whether or not the projects are to be funded with title 23, U.S.C., or Federal Transit Act funds, e.g., addition of an interchange to the Interstate System with State, local, and/or private funds, demonstration projects not funded under title 23, U.S.C., or the Federal Transit Act, etc.;"

Financial Plan Information

23 CFR 450 requires that the TIP/STIP be financially constrained. To assist the Districts and MPOs, we will provide an electronic spreadsheet to the Districts. That electronic spreadsheet contains a sheet for Districtwide totals, a sheet for the Rural project totals, and, if applicable, a sheet for each MPO in that District's area of responsibility. Funding information from the current, approved Unified Transportation Plan (UTP), formerly known as the Project Development Plan (PDP), is inserted into the appropriate cells.

Based on the amount placed in the Districtwide Apportionment cell and the MPO Apportionment cell, the Rural Apportionment cell value is calculated as the remainder. For the Programmed cells, the MPO and Rural cells are summed and that value is shown in the Districtwide Programmed cell.

The following pages show sample spreadsheets formats. The first three spreadsheets are: Districtwide, MPO and Rural format. The fourth spreadsheet shows the format to be used in Volume 1 of the three volume STIP in the Introduction Financial Plan. This format is NOT provided to the Districts/MPOs. We will prepare it based on the information provided by the District in the electronic spreadsheet.

Once draft TIPs and Rural projects have been prepared, the financial information should be entered into the electronic spreadsheet file provided by us. That file should be transferred to us either electronically or by mail (on floppy disk).

This information will be reviewed by us and compared to the UTP information. We will discuss differences between the UTP and Districtwide Totals since the electronic spreadsheet represents the financially constrained plan for both the District and the MPO.

FY 1998 - 2000 TIP FINANCIAL SUMMARY
 ABILENE DISTRICT TOTALS

25-Oct-96 07:35

FUNDING SOURCE	CATEGORY OF WORK	FY 98 APPORTIONED	FY 98 PROGRAMMED	FY 99 APPORTIONED	FY 99 PROGRAMMED	FY 00 APPORTIONED	FY 00 PROGRAMMED	TOTAL APPORTIONED	TOTAL PROGRAMMED	
Federal - FHWA	1-Interstate Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	2-Interstate Maintenance	\$6,961,000	\$0	\$3,159,000	\$0	\$5,100,000	\$0	\$15,220,000	\$0	
	3A- NHS Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	3B-Texas Trunk System	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	3C- NHS Rehabilitation	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$2,100,000	\$0	\$3,600,000	\$0	
	3D- NHS Traffic Mngt Systems	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	3E- NHS Miscellaneous	\$4,941,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,941,000	\$0	
	4A-Safety	\$870,000	\$0	\$320,000	\$0	\$870,000	\$0	\$2,060,000	\$0	
	4B-Enhancement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	4C-Metropolitan Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	4D-Urban Mobility	\$3,730,000	\$0	\$4,427,000	\$0	\$2,700,000	\$0	\$10,857,000	\$0	
	4E-Rural Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$3,280,000	\$0	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$4,480,000	\$0	
	4F-STP Rehabilitation	\$4,400,000	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$4,989,000	\$0	\$10,889,000	\$0	
	4G-RR Grade Separation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	5-Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	6A-Bridges on System	\$3,010,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,010,000	\$0	
	6B-Bridges off System	\$926,240	\$0	\$953,632	\$0	\$1,146,000	\$0	\$3,025,872	\$0	
	12-Commission Strategic Priority	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	15-Demonstration Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	18-Regional Programs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Federal Land Highway Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	TOTAL	Federal Highway Funds Total	\$24,838,240	\$0	\$15,139,632	\$0	\$18,105,000	\$0	\$58,082,872	\$0
	State - TxDOT	7-State Preventative Maintenance	\$5,227,706	\$0	\$4,980,278	\$0	\$4,980,278	\$0	\$15,218,262	\$0
8A-FTA Rehabilitation		\$886,046	\$0	\$536,046	\$0	\$696,246	\$0	\$2,088,338	\$0	
8B-FTA New Edition		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
9-State Park Roads		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
10A-Traffic Control Devices		\$448,749	\$0	\$445,749	\$0	\$445,749	\$0	\$1,337,242	\$0	
10B-Traffic Management Systems Refurb		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
11-State Discretionary Funds		\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$3,000,000	\$0	
12-Commission Strategic (100% State)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
18A-State Mobility		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
13B-Hurricane Evacuation Routes		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
13C-NAFTA Discretionary		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
13D-Urban Street Program		\$170,640	\$0	\$170,640	\$0	\$170,640	\$0	\$511,920	\$0	
14-State Rehabilitation		\$2,029,194	\$0	\$1,578,262	\$0	\$1,578,262	\$0	\$5,185,718	\$0	
16-Miscellaneous (State Funded Project)		\$282,900	\$0	\$282,900	\$0	\$282,900	\$0	\$848,700	\$0	
17-Principal Arterial Street System (PAS)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Governor's Award		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
TOTAL		State Highway Funds Total	\$9,952,235	\$0	\$9,133,875	\$0	\$9,133,875	\$0	\$28,219,985	\$0

TOTAL	Federal & State Highway Funds Total	\$34,790,475	\$0	\$24,273,507	\$0	\$27,238,875	\$0	\$86,302,857	\$0
Additional	Additional Local Contribution	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Locality	City	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Funded	County	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Projects	Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Federal - FTA	Section 5309 (Section 3)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Section 5307 (Section 9)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Section 5310 (Section 16)	\$62,280	\$0	\$62,280	\$0	\$62,280	\$0	\$186,840	\$0
	Section 5311 (Section 18)	\$153,680	\$0	\$153,680	\$0	\$153,680	\$0	\$461,040	\$0
TOTALS - Transit	Federal FTA Program	\$215,960	\$0	\$215,960	\$0	\$215,960	\$0	\$647,880	\$0
	State Match for Transit Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Local Match for Transit Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

ABL9800A.WK4

FY 1998 - 2000 TIP FINANCIAL SUMMARY
 ABILENE MPO TOTALS

25-Oct-96 07:35

FUNDING SOURCE	CATEGORY OF WORK	FY 98	FY 98	FY 99	FY 99	FY 00	FY 00	TOTAL	TOTAL	
		APPORTIONED	PROGRAMMED	APPORTIONED	PROGRAMMED	APPORTIONED	PROGRAMMED	APPORTIONED	PROGRAMMED	
Federal - FHWA	1-Interstate Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	2-Interstate Maintenance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	3A- NHS Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	3B-Texas Trunk System	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	3C- NHS Rehabilitation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	3D- NHS Traffic Mngt Systems	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	3E- NHS Miscellaneous	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	4A-Safety	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	4B-Enhancement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	4C-Metropolitan Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	4D-Urban Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	4E-Rural Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	4F-STP Rehabilitation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	4G-RR Grade Separation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	5-Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	6A-Bridges on System	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	6B-Bridges off System	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	12-Commission Strategic Priority	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	15-Demonstration Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	16-Federal Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Federal Land University Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
TOTAL Federal Highway Funds		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
State - TxDOT	7-State Preventative Maintenance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	8A-PM Rehabilitation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	8B-PM New Location	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	9-State Park Roads	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	10A-Traffic Control Devices	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	10B-Traffic Management Systems (TMS)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	11-State Discretionary Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	12-Commission Discretionary (100% State)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	13-State Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	13B-Northeast Evacuation Routes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	13C-NAFTA Discretionary	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	13D-Urban Street Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	14-State Rehabilitation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	16-Miscellaneous (State Funded Project)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	17-Principal Arterial Street System (PAS)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Governor's Award	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	State Highway Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	TOTAL Federal & State Highway Funds Total		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Additional Additional Local Contribution		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Locally Funded Projects	City	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	County	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Federal - FTA	Section 5309 (Section 3)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Section 5307 (Section 9)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Section 5310 (Section 16)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Section 5311 (Section 18)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
TOTALS - Transit	Federal FTA Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	State Match for Transit Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Local Match for Transit Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FY 1998 - 2000 TIP FINANCIAL SUMMARY
 ABILENE RURAL TOTALS

25-Oct-96 07:25

FUNDING SOURCE	CATEGORY OF WORK	FY 98 APPORTIONED	FY 98 PROGRAMMED	FY 99 APPORTIONED	FY 99 PROGRAMMED	FY 00 APPORTIONED	FY 00 PROGRAMMED	TOTAL APPORTIONED	TOTAL PROGRAMMED	
Federal - FHWA	1-Interstate Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	2-Interstate Maintenance	\$6,961,000	\$0	\$3,159,000	\$0	\$5,100,000	\$0	\$15,220,000	\$0	
	3A-NHS Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	3B-Texas Trunk System	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	3C-NHS Rehabilitation	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$2,100,000	\$0	\$3,600,000	\$0	
	3D-NHS Traffic Mngt Systems	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	3E-NHS Miscellaneous	\$4,941,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,941,000	\$0	
	4A-Safety	\$870,000	\$0	\$320,000	\$0	\$870,000	\$0	\$2,060,000	\$0	
	4B-Enhancement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	4C-Metropolitan Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	4D-Urban Mobility	\$3,730,000	\$0	\$4,427,000	\$0	\$2,700,000	\$0	\$10,857,000	\$0	
	4E-Rural Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$3,280,000	\$0	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$4,480,000	\$0	
	4F-STP Rehabilitation	\$4,400,000	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$4,989,000	\$0	\$10,889,000	\$0	
	4G-RR Grade Separation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	5-Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	6A-Bridges on System	\$3,010,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,010,000	\$0	
	6B-Bridges off System	\$926,240	\$0	\$953,632	\$0	\$1,146,000	\$0	\$3,025,872	\$0	
	12-Commission Strategic Priority	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	15-Demonstration Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	16 (Federal Projects)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	66-Rural Land Highway Program	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	TOTAL	Federal Highway Funds	\$24,838,240	\$0	\$15,139,632	\$0	\$18,105,000	\$0	\$58,082,872	\$0
	State - TxDOT	71-State Preventative Maintenance	\$5,327,706	\$0	\$4,960,278	\$0	\$4,960,278	\$0	\$15,248,262	\$0
7A-FM Rehabilitation		\$296,046	\$0	\$534,046	\$0	\$526,046	\$0	\$2,086,138	\$0	
7B-FM New Location		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
9-State Park Roads		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
10A-Traffic Control Devices		\$445,749	\$0	\$445,749	\$0	\$445,749	\$0	\$1,037,247	\$0	
10B-Traffic Management Systems Rehab		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
11-State Discretionary Funds		\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$3,000,000	\$0	
12-Commission Discretionary (100% Sta		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
13A-State Mobility		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
13B-Roadway Evaluation Route		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
13C-NAFTA Discretionary		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
13D-Urban Street Program		\$170,640	\$0	\$170,640	\$0	\$170,640	\$0	\$511,920	\$0	
14-State Rehabilitation		\$2,029,194	\$0	\$1,578,262	\$0	\$1,578,262	\$0	\$5,185,718	\$0	
16-Miscellaneous (State Funded Project		\$282,900	\$0	\$282,900	\$0	\$282,900	\$0	\$848,700	\$0	
17-Principal Arterial Street System (PAS		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Governor's Award		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
State Highway Funds		\$9,952,235	\$0	\$9,133,875	\$0	\$9,133,875	\$0	\$28,219,985	\$0	
TOTAL		Federal & State Highway Funds Total	\$34,790,475	\$0	\$24,273,507	\$0	\$27,238,875	\$0	\$86,302,857	\$0
Additional		Additional Local Contribution	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Locally Funded Projects		City	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	County	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Federal - FTA	Section 5309 (Section 3)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Section 5307 (Section 9)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Section 5310 (Section 16)	\$62,280	\$0	\$62,280	\$0	\$62,280	\$0	\$186,840	\$0	
	Section 5311 (Section 18)	\$153,680	\$0	\$153,680	\$0	\$153,680	\$0	\$461,040	\$0	
TOTALS - Transp	Federal FTA Program	\$215,960	\$0	\$215,960	\$0	\$215,960	\$0	\$647,880	\$0	
	State Match for Transit Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Local Match for Transit Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FY 1998 - 2000 TIP FINANCIAL SUMMARY
 ABILENE DISTRICT TOTALS

25-OCT-98 07:37

FUNDING SOURCE	CATEGORY OF WORK	FY 98	FY 98	FY 98	FY 99	FY 99	FY 99	FY 00	FY 00	TOTAL	TOTAL
		APPORTIONED	PROGRAMMED								
Federal	1-Interstate Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FHWA	2-Interstate Maintenance	\$8,981,000	\$0	\$2,159,000	\$0	\$5,100,000	\$0	\$15,220,000	\$0	\$15,220,000	\$0
	3-National Highway System										
	3A- NHS Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	3B- Texas Trunk System	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	3C- NHS Rehabilitation	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$2,100,000	\$0	\$3,600,000	\$0	\$3,600,000	\$0
	3D- NHS Traffic Mgmt Systems	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	3E- NHS Miscellaneous	\$4,941,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,941,000	\$0	\$4,941,000	\$0
	4-Surface Transportation Program										
	4A- Safety	\$870,000	\$0	\$320,000	\$0	\$870,000	\$0	\$2,060,000	\$0	\$2,060,000	\$0
	4B- Enhancement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	4C- Metropolitan Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	4D- Urban Mobility	\$3,730,000	\$0	\$4,427,000	\$0	\$2,700,000	\$0	\$10,857,000	\$0	\$10,857,000	\$0
	4E- Rural Mobility	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$4,480,000	\$0	\$4,480,000	\$0
	4F- STP Mitigation	\$4,480,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,889,000	\$0	\$10,889,000	\$0
	4G- BR Grants	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	5-Congestion Mitigation & Alternatives	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	6-Bridge	\$10,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	8A- Road System	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	8B- Bridge System	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	6B- Bridge	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	12-Commission Strategic Priority	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	12A- Federal & State Funding	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	12B- 100% State Funding	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	15-Demonstration Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	16-Federal Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal Land Highway Program	\$24,838,240	\$0	\$15,139,632	\$0	\$18,105,000	\$0	\$58,082,872	\$0	\$58,082,872	\$0
	Federal FHWA	\$9,952,235	\$0	\$9,133,875	\$0	\$9,133,875	\$0	\$28,219,985	\$0	\$28,219,985	\$0
	100% State Funded Projects	\$34,790,475	\$0	\$24,273,507	\$0	\$27,238,875	\$0	\$86,302,857	\$0	\$86,302,857	\$0
	Total										
TOTALS -	Additional Local Contribution	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Highways	Locally Funded	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	County	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal	Section 5309 (Section 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FTA	Section 5307 (Section 9)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Section 5310 (Section 16)	\$62,280	\$0	\$62,280	\$0	\$62,280	\$0	\$186,840	\$0	\$186,840	\$0
	Section 5311 (Section 18)	\$153,880	\$0	\$153,880	\$0	\$153,880	\$0	\$461,040	\$0	\$461,040	\$0
TOTALS -	Federal FTA Program	\$216,160	\$0	\$216,160	\$0	\$216,160	\$0	\$647,880	\$0	\$647,880	\$0
Transit	State Match for Transit Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Local Match for Transit Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Annual Self-Certification Information

23 CFR 450.334(a) requires that "the State and the MPO shall annually certify to the FHWA and the FTA that the planning process is addressing the major issues facing the area and is being conducted in accordance with all applicable requirements ..."

Since we are moving to a two year TIP/STIP cycle, this means that when the TIP/STIP is prepared, an annual Self-Certification should be included. On those years, where the TIP/STIP is not prepared, Self-Certification forms should be forwarded to us by August 1. On the non-TIP/STIP years, the forms will be compiled and forwarded to FHWA/FTA for their review.

The following pages show two Self-Certification formats. The first format is for ATTAINMENT areas. The second format is to be used by NONATTAINMENT areas. Districts/MPOs may reformat the form as long as all information is included.

MPO SELF-CERTIFICATION

We, _____, duly authorized representatives of the TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, and _____, a duly authorized representative of the _____ TRANSPORTATION STUDY, hereby certify and attest that the transportation planning conducted within the Metropolitan Planning Area by its agents and/or representatives is addressing the major issues facing the area and is being conducted in accordance with all applicable requirements of:

- (1) Section 134 of Title 23, U.S.C., Section 5303 of Title 49, U.S.C., 23 CFR Part 450 and 49 CFR Part 613 (Federal Register, October 28, 1993);
- (2) Sections 174 and 176(c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506(c) and (d));
- (3) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Title VI assurance executed by each State under 23 U.S.C. 324 and 29 U.S.C. 794;
- (4) Section 1003(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Pub. L. 102-240) regarding the involvement of Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in FHWA and FTA funded planning projects (Sec. 105(f), Pub. L. 97-424, 96 Stat. 2100; 49 CFR Part 23); and
- (5) The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101-336, 104 Stat. 327, as amended) and U. S. DOT regulations "Transportation for Individuals with Disabilities" (49 CFR Parts 27, 37, and 38).

The _____ Study Area continues to attain the National Ambient Air Quality Standards and is considered an attainment area.

Witness these signatures this _____ day of _____, 19____

TEXAS DEPARTMENT
OF TRANSPORTATION
_____ DISTRICT

TRANSPORTATION STUDY
POLICY BOARD CHAIRPERSON

District Engineer

Chairperson

This format is to be used for ATTAINMENT areas.

MPO SELF-CERTIFICATION

We, _____, duly authorized representatives of the TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, and _____, a duly authorized representative of the _____ TRANSPORTATION STUDY, hereby certify and attest that the transportation planning conducted within the Metropolitan Planning Area by its agents and/or representatives is addressing the major issues facing the area and is being conducted in accordance with all applicable requirements of:

- (1) Section 134 of Title 23, U.S.C., Section 5303 of Title 49, U.S.C., 23 CFR Part 450 and 49 CFR Part 613 (Federal Register, October 28, 1993);
- (2) Sections 174 and 176(c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506(c) and (d));
- (3) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Title VI assurance executed by each State under 23 U.S.C. 324 and 29 U.S.C. 794;
- (4) Section 1003(b) of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (Pub. L. 102-240) regarding the involvement of Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in FHWA and FTA funded planning projects (Sec. 105(f), Pub. L. 97-424, 96 Stat. 2100; 49 CFR Part 23); and
- (5) The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101-336, 104 Stat. 327, as amended) and U. S. DOT regulations "Transportation for Individuals with Disabilities" (49 CFR Parts 27, 37, and 38).

Section 1101(b) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (Pub. L. 105-170)

Witness these signatures this _____ day of _____, 19__

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
_____ DISTRICT

TRANSPORTATION STUDY
POLICY BOARD CHAIRPERSON

District Engineer

Chairperson

This format is to be used for NONATTAINMENT areas.

Other Appendices Information

Other appendices may be added as needed to include other information, for example, a glossary of terms and acronyms, a copy of published project selection documents, etc.